# CWEA 2017 FALL SEMINAR

Maryland Department of Transportation State Highway Administration



### You have 3 wishes... Choose wisely!



# Wish #1: Design Flexibility

 Allow designers the flexibility of using either ESD facilities or structural facilities instead of mandating ESD to the MEP



STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

### Example: US 15 at Monocacy Blvd

- New Intersection and Park & Ride
- Construction cost = \$32 Million
- Impervious Area Treated (IAT) = 20 ac.
- SWM Design:
  - 68 ESD treating 19 acres of impervious (21 Bioswale, 47 Micro-Bioretention)
  - 1 Bioretention treating 1 acre of impervious



### Example: US 15 at Monocacy Blvd



3 MBR: IAT = 0.75 acres in footprint of 0.75 acres (1:1) versus 1 Wet ED Pond: IAT = 5.25 acres in 0.75 acre footprint (7:1)



ADMINISTRATION

### Example: US 15 at Monocacy Blvd



6 MBR: IAT = 1.8 acres in footprint of 0.9 acres (2:1) versus 1 Wet ED Pond: IAT = 6.3 acres in 0.9 acre footprint (7:1)



# Wish #2: Cpv Alternatives

Allow alternative methods of channel protection for narrow linear projects

#### • Examples:

- ADA Compliance
- Sidewalks
- Bike lanes
- Multi-use paths



# Example: MD 140





### **Cpv** Alternatives

- Establish a limit (width or area)
- Require stable outfalls
- Require alternative treatment in watershed
  - Channel Stabilization
  - Outfall Stabilization
  - Stream Restoration
  - Slope Stabilization
  - Tree Planting



# Wish #3: Update Chapter 5

- Reconcile SWM Manual and current practices
  - IART vs. Table 5.3
- Reconsider ESD DA limits
  - I DA ac for GS vs. velocity/depth criteria



STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

# Wish #3: Update Chapter 5

• Expand ESD choices

- What are other states using?
- What if groundwater is too high for BS/MBR, low for WS, and GS isn't enough?
- Is Bioretention with DA < 3 acres = ESD?</p>
- Clarify known points of confusion





# Questions?



STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION